Geoengineering: A Precarious Path to Climate Resilience

Marcel Ray Duriez

3 min read

.

May 26, 2025

12

The escalating climate crisis, characterized by rising global temperatures, extreme weather events, and irreversible ecological damage, has propelled humanity to search for increasingly drastic solutions. Among these, geoengineering has emerged as a controversial yet compelling concept, offering the tantalizing promise of deliberately manipulating Earth's natural systems to counteract the effects of climate change. While the allure of a quick fix is undeniable, geoengineering remains a precarious path, fraught with scientific uncertainties, ethical dilemmas, and geopolitical complexities that demand careful consideration before any large-scale implementation.

At its core, geoengineering encompasses a range of technologies broadly categorized into two main approaches: Solar Radiation Management (SRM) and Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR). SRM techniques, such as stratospheric aerosol injection or marine cloud brightening, aim to reflect a small percentage of incoming sunlight back into space, thereby cooling the planet. CDR methods, on the other hand, focus on actively removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere through processes like direct air capture or enhanced weathering. Both categories hold the potential to mitigate warming, but their mechanisms, risks, and timelines differ significantly, necessitating distinct evaluations.

The primary appeal of geoengineering lies in its potential to offer a more immediate response to global warming compared to traditional emissions reductions. Proponents argue that in a scenario of rapid warming and insufficient mitigation efforts, SRM could provide a crucial temporary reprieve, buying humanity time to transition to a low-carbon economy. CDR, while slower-acting, offers the long-term benefit of directly addressing the root cause of climate change by reducing atmospheric CO2 concentrations. These potential benefits, however, are overshadowed by a litany of unresolved scientific questions. The complexity of Earth's climate system means that any large-scale intervention could have unforeseen and potentially catastrophic side effects, from altering global precipitation patterns and disrupting regional weather systems to impacting agricultural yields and biodiversity. The "termination shock" — the rapid warming that would occur if SRM efforts were abruptly halted — presents another formidable challenge, highlighting the need for sustained, long-term commitment.

Beyond the scientific uncertainties, geoengineering raises profound ethical and governance challenges. The question of who decides when, where, and how to deploy such powerful technologies is paramount. Without a robust international framework, unilateral action by one nation could lead to retaliatory measures or unintended

consequences for others, potentially exacerbating geopolitical tensions. Furthermore, concerns about moral hazard are widespread: the perception that geoengineering offers a technological silver bullet might disincentivize crucial efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. There is also the risk of disproportionate impacts on vulnerable communities, particularly in the Global South, who have contributed least to climate change but might bear the brunt of unintended geoengineering consequences.

In conclusion, geoengineering represents a frontier of climate intervention that, while holding the promise of mitigating the worst effects of global warming, must be approached with extreme caution. It is not a substitute for aggressive emissions reductions, which remain the most effective and equitable long-term solution. Instead, geoengineering should be viewed as a potential last resort, a set of tools to be rigorously researched, debated, and governed within a framework of international cooperation and ethical responsibility. Only through comprehensive understanding of its complex ramifications can humanity hope to navigate this precarious path without inadvertently creating new, even greater, environmental and societal challenges.

Weather

Geoclimatic